

Alameda Corridor Transportation Authority

**On-call Engineering**

**List of Proposers**

**ABS Consulting Inc.**

**KPFF Consulting Engineers**

**Moffatt & Nichol, Inc.**

**Zephyr Rail**

**Scoring of Proposers**

<b>Proposal Team</b>	<b>Score</b>
A	46
B	69
C	70
Moffatt & Nichol, Inc.	79

Alameda Corridor Transportation Authority

Project: **On-call Engineering**  
SUMMARIZED SELECTION EVALUATION FORM  
FOR ALL RESPONSIVE PROPOSERS

SCORING GUIDELINES:

Rater’s Score: (Range 0-5) - 0=not included/non responsive; 1=Marginal Abilities, Serious Deficiencies; 2=Adequate with Minor Deficiencies; 3=Adequate, Standard-Acceptable; 4=Well Qualified; 5=Exceptionally Well Qualified.

Weighting Factor: the weighting factor provides a means of assigning importance to each criterion (1 low through 6 high).

Weighted Score= for each criterion, the Rater’s Score is multiplied by the Weighting Factor to obtain the Weighted Score.

Total Score = sum of all Weighted Scores. **Maximum points possible = 100.**

Evaluated by:	Date:
(All Evaluators Combined)	3/14/2024

SCORES FOR PROPOSING FIRMS																											
CRITERIA TO BE RATED (Weighting Factor)	A. Firm Qualifications (4)					B. Project Org & Mgmt (6)					C. Staff Qualifications (5)					D. Fee Schedule (3)					E. Responsiveness (2)					Average Weighted Scores	Ranking
	Rater 1	Rater 2	Rater 3	Rater 4	Combined	Rater 1	Rater 2	Rater 3	Rater 4	Combined	Rater 1	Rater 2	Rater 3	Rater 4	Combined	Rater 1	Rater 2	Rater 3	Rater 4	Combined	Rater 1	Rater 2	Rater 3	Rater 4	Combined		
Team A	1	2	2.5	2	7.5	1	3	4	2	10	1	2	2.5	2	7.5	3	5	4	0	12	3	4	4	0	11	46	4
Team B	4	3	3	2	12	4	3	5	3	15	3	4	3.5	4	14.5	4	4	3	2	13	3	2	4	4	13	69	3
Team C	3	4	4	3	14	4	4	3.5	3	14.5	3	4	4	3	14	2	4	3	3	12	4	5	4	2	15	70	2
Moffatt & Nichol, Inc.	4	5	4.5	4	17.5	4	4	4	4	16	3	5	4	4	16	4	4	3.5	2	13.5	3	5	4	3	15	79	1