

Report of Independent Auditors and Financial Statements with Required Supplementary Information for

> Alameda Corridor Transportation Authority

> > June 30, 2016 and 2015



Certified Public Accountants | Business Consultants

# CONTENTS

	PAGE
REPORT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS	1-2
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS	3-10
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
Statements of net position	11
Statements of revenues, expenses, and changes in net position	12
Statements of cash flows	13-14
Notes to financial statements	15-48
REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	
Schedule of proportionate share of the net pension liability	49
Schedule of contributions	50
Schedule of funding progress for other postemployment benefits	51



## **REPORT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS**

The Governing Board Alameda Corridor Transportation Authority Long Beach, California

#### **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Alameda Corridor Transportation Authority (the Authority), as of and for the years ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Authority's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

#### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the California Code of Regulations, Title 2, Section 1131.2, State Controller's *Minimum Audit Requirements* for California Special Districts. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditors' judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

1



We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

## **Opinion**

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Alameda Corridor Transportation Authority as of June 30, 2016 and 2015, and the respective changes in its financial position and its cash flows for the years then ended, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

## **Other Matters**

### **Required Supplementary Information**

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the accompanying management's discussion and analysis on pages 3–10 and the schedule of proportionate share of the net pension liability, schedule of contributions, and schedule of funding progress for other postemployment benefits on pages 49–51 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

### Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated November 2, 2016, on our consideration of the Authority's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the Authority's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Moss adams HP

Irvine, California November 2, 2016

# ALAMEDA CORRIDOR TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

## **Description of Basic Financial Statements**

The Alameda Corridor Transportation Authority (the Authority) presents its basic financial statements using the economic resources measurement focus and full accrual basis of accounting. The Authority's basic financial statements include statements of net position; statements of revenues, expenses, and changes in net position; and statements of cash flows. The basic financial statements also include notes that explain the information presented in the basic financial statements.

## **Financial Highlights**

The net deficit of the Authority at June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively, was \$361,712,419 and \$192,692,420. Of this amount, \$14,319,910 and \$155,907,566, respectively, are invested in the Authority's capital assets, net of related debt, at June 30, 2016 and 2015. The Authority's net position decreased by \$169,019,999 and \$59,543,360 in the years ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

The 2016 and 2015 fiscal years marked the fourteenth and thirteenth full years of operations for the Authority. The Authority earned \$108,604,376 and \$110,375,289 from use fees, container charges, and maintenance-of-way charges during fiscal years ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The Authority's use fees and container charges for the year 2016 were less than the 2015 total by 1.9%. All of the use fee and container charges and all of the maintenance-of-way charges are received from the Union Pacific (UP) and Burlington Northern Santa Fe (BNSF) railroads that utilize the Authority's Alameda Corridor.

### **Condensed Financial Information**

The following condensed financial information provides an overview of the Authority's financial position for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2016, 2015, and 2014:

		Year Ended June 30		Change	Change
	2016	2015	2014 (As Restated)	Between 2016 and 2015	Between 2015 and 2014
Assets Capital assets, net Other assets	\$ 1,619,413,295 208,455,018	\$ 1,640,450,554 314,350,204	\$ 1,661,605,137 353,169,593	\$ (21,037,259) (105,895,186)	\$ (21,154,583) (38,819,389)
Total assets	1,827,868,313	1,954,800,758	2,014,774,730	(126,932,445)	(59,973,972)
Deferred outflows of resources	21,550,240	196,611		21,353,629	196,611
Liabilities					
Long-term liabilities	2,170,567,053	2,079,148,645	2,078,499,894	91,418,408	648,751
Current liabilities	40,335,492	68,138,117	69,423,896	(27,802,625)	(1,285,779)
Total liabilities	2,210,902,545	2,147,286,762	2,147,923,790	63,615,783	(637,028)
Deferred inflows of resources	228,427	403,027		(174,600)	403,027
Net position					
Net investment in capital assets	14,319,910	155,907,566	135,232,427	(141,587,656)	20,675,139
Restricted for debt service	94,423,740	88,219,461	91,301,757	6,204,279	(3,082,296)
Restricted for capital projects	224,541	542,172	1,640,793	(317,631)	(1,098,621)
Restricted by Master Trust					
Indenture	57,688,600	74,479,257	83,618,810	(16,790,657)	(9,139,553)
Unrestricted (deficit)	(528,369,210)	(511,840,876)	(444,942,847)	(16,528,334)	(66,898,029)
Total net position	\$ (361,712,419)	\$ (192,692,420)	\$ (133,149,060)	\$ (169,019,999)	\$ (59,543,360)

### **Capital Assets**

Capital assets, net, made up of infrastructure and equipment, decreased by \$21.0 million, or 1.3%, and \$21.2 million, or 1.3%, between 2016 and 2015, and 2015 and 2014, respectively. These decreases are due to depreciation of capital assets of \$21.1 and \$21.2 million in fiscal years 2016 and 2015, respectively, which were partially offset by the addition of capitalized costs during the years ended June 30, 2016 and 2015.

### **Deferred Outflows of Resources**

Deferred outflows of resources increased by \$21.4 million or 10,861% primarily due to deferred charges resulting from the advance refunding of the 2004A capital appreciation bonds and current interest bonds. These deferred outflows are calculated as the difference between the reacquisition price (the amount paid on extinguishment including call premiums and miscellaneous costs of reacquisition) and the net carrying value (amount due at maturity, adjusted for unamortized premiums and/or discounts). The deferred outflows are being amortized over the shorter of the remaining life of the old debt or the new debt. There were no advance refundings in 2015.

## **Other Assets**

Other assets decreased by \$105.9 million, or 33.7%, during fiscal year 2016 primarily due to a decrease in cash and investments and an adjustment to the carrying value of certain assets held for transfer. During the development and construction of the Corridor, certain ancillary costs associated with the acquisition of right-of-way were capitalized. Since the completion of construction, in accordance with the terms of the Use Permit between ACTA and the ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach (collectively referred to as the Ports), ACTA has been seeking to transfer those parcels and easements no longer necessary for the Corridor's operations. All remaining parcels or easements will be turned over to the Ports. Management anticipates that the remaining assets will be transferred within the next one or two years. As a result, all ancillary costs originally capitalized not attributed to these remaining assets held for transfer were expensed in the current year.

In fiscal year 2015, the Authority incurred a loss of \$26.3 million on the transfer of multiple parcels and easements to the City of Los Angeles and the County of Los Angeles and the sale of two parcels.

## **Current Liabilities**

Current liabilities consist of the current portion of accrued interest and revenue bonds payable, accounts payable, unearned revenue, and other liabilities. Current liabilities decreased by \$27.8 million, or 40.8%, and decreased by \$1.3 million, or 1.9%, during the fiscal years ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

The \$27.8 million decrease in fiscal year 2016 is primarily due to \$28.3 million in principal and interest payments made during fiscal year 2016.

The \$1.3 million decrease in fiscal year 2015 is primarily due to \$1.3 million in principal and interest payments made during fiscal year 2015.

### Long-Term Liabilities

Long-term liabilities increased by \$91.4 million, or 4.4%, in fiscal year 2016 compared to fiscal year 2015. The 2016 increase was due to the increase in bonds payable, due to the May 2016 bond issuance.

Long-term liabilities increased by \$0.6 million, or 0.0%, in fiscal year 2015 compared to fiscal year 2014. The 2015 increase was due to the decrease in bond payable, offset by an increase in the net pension liability which is due to the adoption of GASB Statement No. 68, increase of interest payable and Bank of America's collateral deposit, a function of the reduction in Bank of America liability, consistent with remaining payment on the Forward Delivery Agreement.

# ALAMEDA CORRIDOR TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)

### The Master Trust Indenture

In conjunction with the sale of project revenue and refunding bonds in 1999, 2004, 2012, 2013A, and 2016 (Bonds), the Authority entered into a Master Trust Indenture (MTI) with U.S. Bank, the bond trustee (Trustee), pursuant to which the Authority assigned all of its rights, title, and interest in and to the Corridor, including the receipt of certain use fees and container charges and other revenues known as "Authority Revenues" to the Trustee as security for the repayment of the Bonds. Pursuant to the terms of the MTI, the Trustee is required to establish certain funds and accounts and to apply the Authority's revenues for the purposes specifically set forth therein. The MTI establishes debt service funds, debt service reserve funds, construction funds, maintenance and capital reserve funds, and certain other restricted funds. The MTI also establishes a priority of payments, which restricts the manner, timing, and sequence of transfers into and out of such funds and accounts, and among such funds and accounts. The MTI requires that the Authority comply with certain operational and financial covenants, restricts the types of investments the Trustee and Authority may make, and requires regular financial reporting and disclosure.

## Net Position

Net position, the difference between assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, and deferred inflows of resources, decreased by \$169.0 million, or 87.7%, and \$59.5 million, or 44.7%, during the years ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The change in net position was primarily the result of interest expenses continuing to exceed operating income and losses on the transfer and write down of assets. In fiscal years 2016 and 2015, operating revenues of \$108.6 million and \$110.4 million, respectively, were not sufficient to cover the interest expense of \$138.6 million and \$111.7 million. The remaining change is the result of depreciation of \$21.1 million in fiscal year 2016 and \$21.2 million in fiscal year 2015. In addition, in 2016, there was \$99.5 million expense related to the adjustment in the carrying value of assets held for transfer.

## Summary of Changes in Net Position

The table below summarizes the changes in net position for the years ended June 30, 2016, 2015, and 2014:

		Year Ended June 30	1	Change	Change
	2016	2015	2014 (As Restated)	Between 2016 and 2015	Between 2015 and 2014
Operating revenues			(		
Use fees and container charges	\$ 103,551,936	\$ 105,518,770	\$ 108,998,890	\$ (1,966,834)	\$ (3,480,120)
Maintenance-of-way charges	5,052,440	4,856,519	4,788,030	195,921	68,489
Total operating revenues	108,604,376	110,375,289	113,786,920	(1,770,913)	(3,411,631)
Operating expenses					
Salaries and benefits	1,742,411	1,860,394	1,708,783	(117,983)	151,611
Administrative expenses and					
professional services	2,735,999	2,266,204	2,473,906	469,795	(207,702)
Maintenance-of-way charges	7,154,542	6,738,543	6,039,975	415,999	698,568
Depreciation	21,053,229	21,244,199	21,308,675	(190,970)	(64,476)
Total operating expenses	32,686,181	32,109,340	31,531,339	576,841	578,001
Operating income	75,918,195	78,265,949	82,255,581	(2,347,754)	(3,989,632)
Nonoperating revenues (expenses)					
Interest and investment					
income, net	3,375,680	2,413,719	2,230,983	961,961	182,736
Interest expense	(138,639,697)	(111,683,412)	(116,183,634)	(26,956,285)	4,500,222
Grant revenues	1,949,517	3,479,593	8,158,398	(1,530,076)	(4,678,805)
Miscellaneous revenues	1,009,872	193,220	188,533	816,652	4,687
Expenses for public benefit	(2,028,509)	(4,195,569)	(3,460,496)	2,167,060	(735,073)
Loss on transfer of assets			(10.011.0(0))	0 < 0 0 0 1 0	(10.01(.005)
held for transfer	-	(26,328,348)	(13,011,363)	26,328,348	(13,316,985)
Carrying value adjustment to assets held for transfer	(99,546,017)			(99,546,017)	
Debt issuance costs	(11,059,040)			(11,059,040)	
Debt issuance costs	(11,037,040)			(11,039,040)	
Total nonoperating					
expenses	(244,938,194)	(136,120,797)	(122,077,579)	(108,817,397)	(14,043,218)
Changes in net position	(169,019,999)	(57,854,848)	(39,821,998)	(111,165,151)	(18,032,850)
Net position, beginning of the year,					
as restated	(192,692,420)	(133,149,060)	(93,327,062)	(59,543,360)	(39,821,998)
Cumulative effect of change in					
accounting principle		(1,688,512)		1,688,512	(1,688,512)
Net position, beginning of the year,					
after cumulative effect	(192,692,420)	(134,837,572)	(93,327,062)	(57,854,848)	(41,510,510)
Net position, end of year	\$ (361,712,419)	\$ (192,692,420)	\$ (133,149,060)	\$ (169,019,999)	\$ (59,543,360)

## **Operating Revenues**

Use fees and container charges revenues, representing 95.3% and 95.6% of operating revenues, decreased by \$2.0 million and decreased by \$3.5 million, or 1.9% and 3.2%, in 2016 and 2015, respectively. The fiscal year 2016 decrease is primarily due to the ongoing volume decrease following the 2014/2015 productivity and labor disruption, which was responsible for the fiscal year 2015 decrease, as well.

## **Operating Expenses**

Operating expenses consist of salaries and benefits, administrative expenses, professional services, maintenance of way, and depreciation. During the year ended June 30, 2016, operating expenses increased by \$0.6 million or 1.8%. The increase in 2016 is primarily related to increased maintenance-of-way charges as well as higher administrative expenses and professional services. During the year ended June 30, 2015, operating expenses increased by \$0.6 million, or 1.8%. The increase in 2015 is primarily related to increased maintenance-of-way charges as well as higher salary and benefit costs.

## Nonoperating Revenues and Expenses

Nonoperating revenues and expenses consist of interest and investment earnings, interest expense, grant revenues, miscellaneous revenues, losses on assets held for transfer and carrying value adjustment, expenses for public benefit, and bond issuance costs.

The 2016 expense increase in nonoperating revenues and expenses of \$108.8 million was primarily due to the loss of an additional \$73.2 million from assets held for transfer and carrying value adjustment in relation to the loss in 2015. Interest expense increased by \$27.0 million as a result of the May 2016 bond transaction, which resulted in additional capital appreciation bonds (CAB) interest on the 2004A defeased bonds and new 2016 bonds. Additionally, bond issuance costs relating to the May 2016 bond transaction, totaling \$11.0, were expensed during the fiscal year. Grant revenues related to the State Route 47 (SR-47) decreased during the year with a proportionate decrease in project expenses.

The 2015 increase in nonoperating revenues and expenses was primarily due to \$13.3 million of additional losses from the transfer of assets held for transfer in relation to 2014 and the decrease of \$4.7 million in grant revenue due to recognition of grant funds previously reported as unearned revenue. Excess parcels or portions thereof and easement rights are in the process of being transferred, as appropriate. At the conclusion of this process, all remaining parcels and easement rights will be transferred to the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach, as tenants in common. This increase was partially offset by a \$4.5 million decrease in interest expense.

# ALAMEDA CORRIDOR TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)

## **Capital Assets and Debt Administration**

At June 30, 2016 and 2015, the Authority had approximately \$1.62 billion and \$1.64 billion, respectively, of capital assets and approximately \$2.2 billion and \$2.1 billion, respectively, in outstanding long-term debt.

## **Capital Assets**

During fiscal years 2016 and 2015, the Authority expended \$0.02 million and \$0.09 million, respectively, on capital project activities. In 2016, the Authority's additions were primarily related to office equipment. In 2015, the Authority's additions were primarily related to office equipment and closeout activities related to infrastructure.

## Long-Term Debt

As of June 30, 2016 and 2015, the Authority's total long-term debt in revenue bonds was \$1.728 billion and \$1.601 billion. In addition, accrued interest payable was \$419.5 million and \$455.9 million, during fiscal years 2016 and 2015, respectively.

As of June 30, 2016, ACTA's credit ratings for the Senior Bonds (1999A, 1999C, 2012, and 2013A) are A3, A-, and A by Moody's Investor Service, Standard & Poor's, and Fitch Ratings, respectively. For the first subordinated debt (2004A, 2004B, and 2016A), the ratings are Baa2, BBB+, and BBB+ by Moody's Investor Service, Standard & Poor's, and Fitch Ratings, respectively, and for the second subordinated debt (2016B), the ratings are Baa2, BBB+, and BBB+, and BBB+, and BBB by Moody's Investor Service, Standard & Poor's, and Fitch Ratings respectively.

Additional debt information can be found in Note 6 to the basic financial statements.

### **Other Developments**

The Authority's Alameda Corridor Project opened on April 15, 2002. On that date, the Authority commenced operations and began collecting revenues for intermodal containers and rail cars using the Corridor, as authorized in the Use and Operating Agreement between the Authority and the participating railroads (Use and Operating Agreement). The Authority's bonds are payable solely from and secured by a pledge of, among other revenues, use fees, container charges to be paid by the railroads, and shortfall advances to be paid under certain circumstances by the Ports. To the extent that the revenues from use and container charges are not sufficient to meet the Authority's obligations, the Ports have agreed to advance the funds necessary to pay up to the maximum amount of 20% each for any debt service payment. From inception until the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016, approximately \$1.229 billion has been received from the railroads. These revenues, combined with remaining interest income and other surplus cash balances, have been sufficient to meet debt services, fund reserve account required deposits, and pay the cost of revenue collections, monitoring, and administrative fees, except for in fiscal years 2012 and 2013, when shortfall advances totaling \$11.8 million were needed.

# ALAMEDA CORRIDOR TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)

The Authority's program manager, Alameda Corridor Engineering Team (ACET), together with the Authority's staff are actively working to close out the remaining completed projects from the original Corridor projects as well as to support Caltrans on the SR-47 project as outlined in cooperative agreements. Remaining work involves property transfers, right-of-way closeouts, and support on the SR-47 Project.

The Authority's Governing Board (the Board) modified the Corridor to include the addition of several Corridor-related projects, consistent with the Authority's Joint Powers Agreement, Use and Operating Agreement between the Authority and participating railroads (the Use and Operating Agreement), and its bond-related documents. Significant among those projects are the Pacific Coast Highway (PCH) and SR-47 Projects. The PCH project was completed in August 2004. The SR-47 Project has progressed, and the Authority is currently working in conjunction with Caltrans to advance the Heim Bridge portion of the project. The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Record of Decision was approved on August 12, 2009; the Notice of Determination was received on August 17, 2009. The final design for replacement of the Bridge was completed in October 2010. Construction of the bridge is being administered by Caltrans and is underway. Construction is expected to be completed in November 2018, with full closeout set to occur in 2019.

At June 30, 2015, the Authority's total net position is a negative \$192.7 million as total liabilities were greater than total assets. The Authority's net position continued to decline during fiscal 2016 primarily due to interest expenses exceeding operating and nonoperating revenue, and the one-time write-off of assets being held for transfer. The Authority has continued to engage in activities to prevent further decline in its net position and improve the overall financial condition of the Authority. In May 2016, the Authority issued bonds in order to reduce debt service costs in the short-term by refinancing a portion of its outstanding debt. Although the Authority does not expect to require shortfall advances from the Ports within the next fiscal year based upon current revenue projections, the ability to request advances remains an option to the Authority. The Authority has forecasted that cargo volumes will increase in the future based on cargo volumes projected from the Ports, resulting in greater revenues for the Authority to fund future debt service requirements.

## Contacting the Authority's Financial Management

The financial report is designed to provide a general overview of the Authority's finances for all those with an interest. Questions concerning any of the information provided in this report or request for additional financial information should be addressed to the Chief Financial Officer, Alameda Corridor Transportation Authority, 3760 Kilroy Airport Way, Suite 200, Long Beach, California, 90806.

# ALAMEDA CORRIDOR TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY **STATEMENTS OF NET POSITION**

	Jun	e 30,
	2016	2015
CURRENT ASSETS	¢ 27 (20 0F2	¢ 14050715
Restricted cash and cash equivalents Restricted investments	\$  26,639,953 68,732,599	\$ 14,058,615 54,079,502
Receivables	10,969,030	18,761,083
Prepaid expenses	1,268,710	1,394,441
Total current assets	107,610,292	88,293,641
Restricted investments held with fiscal agent	10 106 150	9,068,836
Restricted investments	10,106,159 77,025,223	104,094,558
Assets held for transfer	13,347,625	112,537,294
Net OPEB asset	365,719	355,875
Capital assets, net	1,619,413,295	1,640,450,554
Total assets	1,827,868,313	1,954,800,758
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES	21 21 4 00 4	
Charges on refunding	21,314,804	-
Pension contributions and differences in experience	235,436	196,611
Total assets and deferred outflows of resources	\$ 1,849,418,553	\$ 1,954,997,369
LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESO	URCES, AND NET POSITION	
CURRENT LIABILITIES		
Accounts payable	\$ 2,645,749	\$ 1,865,576
Unearned revenue	1,059,468	1,411,083
Accrued interest payable, current portion	12,985,235	27,424,030
Revenue bonds payable, current portion	23,170,000	37,013,485
Other liabilities	475,040	423,943
Total current liabilities	40,335,492	68,138,117
Collateral deposit	10,106,159	9,068,836
Shortfall advances to Ports	11,874,268	11,837,523
Net pension liability	1,445,588	1,438,008
Accrued interest payable, net current portion	419,488,812	455,877,061
Revenue bonds payable, net of current portion and		
unamortized discount	1,727,652,226	1,600,927,217
Total liabilities	2,210,902,545	2,147,286,762
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES		
Net difference between expected and actual earnings		
on pension plan investments	228,427	403,027
NET POSITION		
Net investments in capital assets	14,319,910	155,907,566
Restricted, expendable for		
Debt service	94,423,740	88,219,461
Capital projects	224,541	542,172
Master Trust Indenture	57,688,600	74,479,257
Unrestricted (deficit)	(528,369,210)	(511,840,876)
Total net position	(361,712,419)	(192,692,420)
Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources,		
and net position	\$ 1,849,418,553	\$ 1,954,997,369
11	Son	accompanying note
	566 6	accompanying nou

## ASSETS AND DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES

# **ALAMEDA CORRIDOR TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY** STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENSES, AND CHANGES IN NET POSITION

	Years Ended June 30,			
	2016	2015		
OPERATING REVENUES				
Use fees and container charges	\$ 103,551,936	\$ 105,518,770		
Maintenance-of-way charges	5,052,440	4,856,519		
Total operating revenues	108,604,376	110,375,289		
OPERATING EXPENSES				
Salaries and benefits	1,742,411	1,860,394		
Administrative expenses	1,483,085	1,521,284		
Professional services	1,252,914	744,920		
Maintenance of way	7,154,542	6,738,543		
Depreciation	21,053,229	21,244,199		
Total operating expenses	32,686,181	32,109,340		
Operating income	75,918,195	78,265,949		
NONOPERATING REVENUES (EXPENSES)				
Interest and investment revenue, net	3,375,680	2,413,719		
Grant revenues	1,949,517	3,479,593		
Miscellaneous revenue	1,009,872	193,220		
Interest expense	(138,639,697)	(111,683,412)		
Expenses for public benefit	(2,028,509)	(4,195,569)		
Debt issuance costs	(11,059,040)	-		
Carrying value adjustment to assets				
held for transfer	(99,546,017)	-		
Loss on transfer of assets held for transfer		(26,328,348)		
Total nonoperating expenses, net	(244,938,194)	(136,120,797)		
Changes in net position	(169,019,999)	(57,854,848)		
NET POSITION, beginning of the year	(192,692,420)	(133,149,060)		
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle		(1,688,512)		
NET POSITION, end of year	\$ (361,712,419)	\$ (192,692,420)		

# ALAMEDA CORRIDOR TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Years Ended June 30,			
	2016	2015		
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES				
Receipts from customers for services	\$ 114,883,574	\$ 103,799,828		
Payment to suppliers for goods and services	(8,984,637)	(9,143,941)		
Payments to employees	(1,907,003)	(1,864,454)		
Net cash provided by operating activities	103,991,934	92,791,433		
CASH FLOWS FROM NONCAPITAL FINANCING				
ACTIVITIES				
Grant receipts	2,950,467	3,311,492		
Payments for legal and other costs for the transfer		, ,		
of assets held for transfer.	(710,715)	(10,876)		
Payments for expenses for public benefit	(2,028,509)	(4,195,569)		
Receipts for miscellaneous income	1,364,239	671,009		
Net cash provided by (used in) noncapital				
financing activities	1,575,482	(223,944)		
mancing activities	1,575,402	(223,944)		
CASH FLOWS FROM CAPITAL AND RELATED				
FINANCING ACTIVITIES				
Purchases of capital assets	(15,970)	(89,616)		
Proceeds placed in escrow for bond defeasance				
and principal paid on notes and bonds payable	(591,129,354)	(41,829,724)		
Proceeds from advanced refunding	687,054,612	-		
Payments for debt issuance costs	(11,059,040)	-		
Interest payments on capital debt	(193,788,534)	(72,828,924)		
Net cash used in capital and related				
financing activities	(108,938,286)	(114,748,264)		
0				
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES				
Purchases of investments	(200,285,561)	(79,778,463)		
Sales of investments	212,701,799	88,194,686		
Interest received	3,535,970	2,426,749		
Net cash provided by investing activities	15,952,208	10,842,972		
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN RESTRICTED				
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	12,581,338	(11,337,803)		
RESTRICTED CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS,				
beginning of year	14,058,615	25,396,418		
RESTRICTED CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS,				
end of year	\$ 26,639,953	\$ 14,058,615		

# ALAMEDA CORRIDOR TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (continued)

	Years Ended June 30,				
		2016	2015		
RECONCILIATION OF OPERATING INCOME TO NET					
CASH PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES					
Operating income	\$	75,918,195	\$	78,265,949	
Adjustments to reconcile operating income to					
net cash provided by operating activities					
Depreciation expense		21,053,229		21,244,199	
Changes in operating assets, deferred					
outflows of resources, liabilities, and					
deferred inflows of resources					
Receivables		6,279,198		(6,575,461)	
Prepaid expenses		125,731		65,079	
Net OPEB asset		(9,844)		7,305	
Deferred outflows/inflows of resources		(213,425)		206,416	
Accounts payable		780,173		(204,273)	
Net pension liability		7,580		(250,504)	
Other liabilities		51,097		32,723	
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$	103,991,934	\$	92,791,433	
NONCASH INVESTING, CAPITAL, AND					
FINANCING ACTIVITIES					
Losses on transfers of assets held for transfer					
and carrying value adjustment	\$	99,546,017	\$	26,328,348	

## Note 1 - Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

**Reporting entity** - The Alameda Corridor Transportation Authority (the Authority) was established in August 1989 through a Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement (JPA) between the cities of Los Angeles and Long Beach, California. The purpose of the Authority is to acquire, construct, finance, and operate a consolidated transportation corridor; including an improved railroad expressway between the ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach (collectively known as the Ports) and downtown Los Angeles (the route between the two locations has become known as the Alameda Corridor).

The Authority's independent Governing Board has seven members, comprising two members each from the Ports, one member each from the cities of Los Angeles and Long Beach, and one member representing the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA).

As of June 30, 2016, the members of the Authority's Governing Board were the following:

Chairperson - Ms. Lena Gonzalez, Council member, City of Long Beach Vice Chairperson - Mr. Joe Buscaino, Council member, City of Los Angeles Member - Hon. Don Knabe, Supervisor, County of Los Angeles and Chairperson, LACMTA Member - Mr. Eugene Seroka, Executive Director, Port of Los Angeles Member - Mr. Jon Slangerup, Executive Director, Port of Long Beach Member - Mr. Richard Dines, Commissioner, Port of Long Beach Member - Mr. Edward Renwick, Commissioner, Port of Los Angeles

The Authority is empowered to explore alternative methods of financing, to develop existing property, and to coordinate other governmental efforts necessary for a consolidated transportation corridor, including the completion of the Alameda Corridor Project (the Project). The Authority may issue revenue bonds to carry out its obligations under the JPA. Such bonds will be payable from revenues generated from the Alameda Corridor, from one or more pledges of revenues from the Authority, the Board of Harbor Commissioners of Long Beach and Los Angeles, from pledges of revenues from other responsible agencies, or from any other legally available funds.

**Program management agreement** - In January 1996, the Authority's Governing Board entered into a 10-year Program Management Agreement (Agreement) with the Alameda Corridor Engineering Team (ACET), a joint venture comprising Daniel, Mann, Johnson, and Mendenhall (now AECOM); Moffatt and Nichol Engineers; Jenkins, Gales, and Martinez, Inc.; and TELACU Construction Management, Inc., to provide the broad program management services necessary to assist the Authority in implementing the Alameda Corridor. The Agreement calls for ACET to provide the Authority with professional services related to management, engineering, construction support, procurement, coordination, and administration of the Alameda Corridor Project Construction Program. This Agreement was amended twice by the Governing Board and is now extended through June 30, 2017.

## Note 1 - Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

**Use and operating agreement** - In October 1998, the cities of Long Beach and Los Angeles, the Authority, Union Pacific Railroad Company (UP), and Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railway Company (BNSF) entered into a use and operating agreement (the Use and Operating Agreement or UOA), which outlines the provisions for the construction, operation, and use of the Rail Corridor (as defined in the UOA). Specifically, it grants UP and BNSF the right to use the Rail Corridor constructed by the Authority for all Through Train (as defined in the UOA) movements upon substantial completion in exchange for paying maintenance, operating charges, container charges, and use fees to the Authority. Proceeds of the container charges and use fees will be used to repay the revenue bonds. The Use and Operating Agreement was amended in 2006 to resolve a dispute involving application of the container charge and use fee provisions of the Agreement to transloaded cargo. The UOA requirements are to be in effect until the earlier of April 15, 2062, or repayment of all obligations under the UOA.

**Master trust indenture** - In conjunction with the sale of project revenue and refunding bonds in 1999, 2004, 2012, 2013A, and 2016 (Bonds), the Authority entered into a Master Trust Indenture (MTI) with U.S. Bank, the bond trustee (Trustee), pursuant to which the Authority assigned all of its rights, title, and interest in and to the Project, including the receipt of certain use fees and container charges and other revenues known as "the Authority revenues" to the Trustee as security for the repayment of the Bonds. Pursuant to the terms of the MTI, the Trustee is required to establish certain funds and accounts and to apply the Authority's revenues for the purposes specifically set forth therein, which include the payment of most operating expenses and debt service payments. The MTI establishes debt service funds, debt service reserve funds, construction funds, maintenance and capital reserve funds, and certain other restrictive funds. The MTI also establishes a priority of payments, which restricts the manner, timing, and sequence of transfers into and out of such funds and accounts, and among such funds and accounts. The MTI requires that the Authority comply with certain operational and financial covenants, restricts the types of investments the Trustee and Authority may make, and requires regular financial reporting and disclosure. The Authority's net position restricted by the MTI included in the accompanying statements of net position of \$57,688,600 and \$74,479,257 as of June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively, represents the accumulation of the Authority's revenues in excess of operating expenses and debt payments that can only be used for the aforementioned purposes noted in the MTI.

**Basis of presentation** - The basic financial statements of the Authority have been prepared in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) as applied to governmental units and the State Controller's Minimum Audit Requirements and Reporting Guidelines. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is the recognized standard-setting body for establishing governmental accounting and financial reporting principles for state and local governments within the United States of America.

In accordance with GAAP, the Authority's operations are accounted for as a business-type activity. In this regard, the Authority follows the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting, whereby revenues are recognized when they are earned, and expenses are recorded when they are incurred, irrespective of when paid.

### Note 1 - Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

**Restricted cash and cash equivalents** - The Authority has defined, for purposes of the preparation of its statements of cash flows, that cash and cash equivalents include deposits, money market accounts, and investments with an original maturity date of three months or less, including investments in the State of California Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF). The Authority participates in the State of California's LAIF, a non-Securities and Exchange Commission registered investment pool open to all government units in the State of California and is able to withdraw amounts from LAIF on demand without penalty.

**Investments** - Investments are stated at fair value. The value of each investment security has been determined based on the published closing price of the security as of June 30, 2016 and 2015. The net changes in fair value of investments, consisting of realized gains or losses and the unrealized appreciation/depreciation on those investments, have been included in interest and investment revenue as shown in the accompanying statements of revenues, expenses, and changes in net position.

The Authority's investment practices are governed by a board-approved investment policy. The types of investment authorized by the policy are described further in Note 2.

**Assets held for transfer** - Remaining right-of-way parcels or easements that require a transfer are valued based upon the known costs paid at the time the parcel was originally acquired. These assets are not held for investment purposes. The carrying value of certain right-of-way assets was adjusted during 2016 and further described in Note 4.

**Capital assets** - Capital assets purchased or constructed are carried at cost, including capitalized interest during construction. Donated assets are valued at the estimated fair value on the date received. Depreciation is provided over the estimated useful life of each asset and computed on a straight-line basis beginning with the fiscal year after the asset is placed in service. Trench structures, tracks and signals, rail bridge structures, and highway bridge structures include both depreciable and nondepreciable components.

Estimated useful lives of classes of capital assets are as follows:

Tenant improvements Automotive vehicles	3 years 5 years
Office and other equipment	3-5 years
Buildings	30 years
Revenue assessment and verification	
system and other software	5 years
Tracks and signal systems	40 years
Roads	50 years
Highway bridge structures	100 years
Trench structures	100 years
Rail bridge structures	100 years

Infrastructure and equipment acquired with state and local grants are also included in capital assets. Depreciation on these assets is recorded as an operating expense.

### Note 1 - Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

**Restricted assets and net position** - Certain proceeds of the Authority's revenue bonds, as well as certain resources set aside for their repayment, are classified as restricted assets on the statements of net position because their use is limited by applicable bond covenants. The revenue bonds' accrued interest, debt service reserve, indemnity, and revenue fund accounts have been classified as restricted assets, because these accounts are first restricted to the payment of interest and principal on the outstanding revenue bonds. These amounts, offset by any related outstanding debt, are reported as net position restricted for debt service. After payment of debt service, remaining revenues, if any, are restricted to the payment of the Authority's other costs and legal obligations (e.g., Port Shortfall Advances) as defined by the Authority's Use and Operating Agreement. The Reserve Account has also been classified as restricted assets, because the amount in this account is restricted for specific purposes under the Use and Operating Agreement and the revenue bond covenants. These amounts, offset by any related outstanding liabilities, are reported as net position restricted by the Master Trust Indenture.

Remaining long-term debt proceeds that have been set aside for capital projects are also reported as restricted assets. When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the Authority's practice to use restricted resources first, and then unrestricted resources as they are needed.

The Authority's net position as of June 30, 2016, is a negative \$361.7 million because total liabilities and deferred inflows of resources were greater than total assets and deferred outflows of resources due to accumulating accreted interest on revenue bonds payable. The Authority's interest cash payments are lower than recorded interest expense because the bonds are capital appreciation bonds (CABs), which compound interest until final maturity. As a result, the Authority is able to maintain a liquid financial position. Management believes that it will be able to sustain its liquidity based on the terms of the bonds payable and through shortfall advances from the Ports, if necessary, as discussed further in Note 6.

**Compensated absences** - All Authority employees accumulate time off for vacation and sick leave each pay period. While sick leave hours are accrued at a uniform rate among all employees, vacation accrual is based on length of service. Vacation hours are payable to employees when used at the individual employee's current rate of pay. Any unused vacation remaining at the time of termination is also payable to the employee at his/her then rate of pay. All vacation hours that have been earned but not paid as of June 30, 2016 and 2015, have been accrued in the accompanying statements of net position in other liabilities. Sick hours are paid to employees when used. The Authority's sick leave policy also provides that employees will be paid 50% of the remaining value of their sick leave hours upon termination. Consequently, 50% of all unused sick hours for all employees totaling \$229,901 and \$208,316 as of June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively, have also been accrued in other liabilities of the accompanying statement of net position.

# ALAMEDA CORRIDOR TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

### Note 1 - Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

**Collateral deposit** - In conjunction with the 1999, 2012, and 2013A series bonds issued by the Authority, the Authority also entered into a Forward Delivery Agreement (FDA) for debt service funds with a Trustee and a Provider. As noted in the FDA, the Provider guarantees a rate of return on debt service funds deposited with the Trustee. The FDA also includes a provision, which requires that, in the event of a downgrade of the Provider's long-term unsecured credit rating, the Provider is required to (i) procure a guarantor, (ii) assign its rights to another higher-rated financial institution, or (iii) deliver collateral to the Trustee in the name of the Authority and the Trustee. In 2011, the Provider's credit rating was downgraded and the Provider elected to deliver collateral to comply with the FDA. As a result, the Authority received the collateral in the form of approximately \$11.0 million in U.S. Treasury securities. As of June 30, 2016 and 2015, the carrying value was \$10,106,159 and \$9,068,836, and recorded within restricted investments held with fiscal agent on the statements of net position. If the Provider's credit rating recovers, the Authority will then return the collateral; therefore, the Authority has recorded a corresponding liability for the collateral deposit due to the Provider.

**Pensions** - For purposes of measuring the net pension liability and deferred outflows/inflows of resources related to pensions, and pension expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the Agency of California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS) plans (Plans), and additions to/deductions from the Plans' fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by CalPERS. For this purpose, benefit payments (including refunds of employee contributions) are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value.

**Operating revenues and expenses** - Operating revenues and expenses generally result from the operation of the Rail Corridor. The principal operating revenues of the Authority are fees assessed to the railroads for use and maintenance of the Rail Corridor. These fees are recognized in the period earned. Operating expenses include revenue collection and other administrative expenses, maintenance, and depreciation on capital assets. All revenues and expenses not meeting this definition are reported as nonoperating revenues and expenses.

**Use of estimates** - The preparation of basic financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires that management make estimates and assumptions that may affect the reported amount of assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, deferred inflows of resources, and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the basic financial statements and the reported amount of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Cash, cash equivalents, and investments as of June 30, 2016 and 2015, are classified in the accompanying basic financial statements as follows:

	June 30, 2016 Fair Value	June 30, 2015 Fair Value
Current restricted cash and cash equivalents Current restricted investments Noncurrent restricted investments held with fiscal agent Noncurrent restricted investments	\$ 26,639,953 68,732,599 10,106,159 77,025,223	\$ 14,058,615 54,079,502 9,068,836 104,094,558
Total restricted cash, cash equivalents, and investments	\$ 182,503,934	\$ 181,301,511

**Deposits** - At June 30, 2016 and 2015, the net carrying amount of the Authority's deposit account with Bank of America was \$1,216,594 and \$2,519,786, while the corresponding bank balance was \$1,268,569 and \$2,530,520, respectively. Outstanding checks account for the respective differences between the carrying amounts and bank balances. Of the aforementioned bank balance, \$250,000 is covered by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, with the excess being secured with collateral of securities held by the pledging financial institution's trust or agent in the Authority's name.

The California Government Code Section 53601 requires California banks and savings and loan associations to secure a public agency's deposits not covered by federal depository insurance by pledging government securities as collateral. The carrying amount of pledged securities must equal at least 110% of the agency's deposits. California law also allows financial institutions to secure agency deposits by pledging first trust deed mortgage notes having a value of 150% of the Authority's total deposits. The collateral must be held at the pledging bank's trust department or other bank acting as the pledging bank's agent in the Authority's name.

**Investments** - The Authority's investments are invested pursuant to the investment policy guidelines adopted by the Governing Board of the Authority. The objectives of the policy are, in order of priority, preservation of capital, liquidity, and yield. The policy addresses the types of investment instruments and the percentage of the portfolio in which the Authority may invest its funds as permitted by the California Government Code. Generally, investments shall be made in the context of the "prudent investor" rule.

**Investment in State of California Local Agency Investment Pool** - The Authority is a voluntary participant in the LAIF that is regulated by the California Government Code under the oversight of the Treasurer of the State of California. The fair value of the Authority's investment in this pool is reported in the accompanying statements of net position as restricted cash equivalents at amounts based upon the Authority's pro rata share of the fair value provided by LAIF for the entire LAIF portfolio. The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by LAIF, which is recorded at fair value. Amounts up to \$10 million can be withdrawn on demand. At June 30, 2016 and 2015, the fair value of the balance of such deposits is \$8,348,106 and \$6,975,577, respectively.

**Interest rate risk** - Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity its fair value is to changes in market interest rates. One of the ways that the Authority manages its exposure to interest rate risk is by purchasing a combination of short-term and medium-term investments, and by timing cash flows from maturities so that a portion of the portfolio is maturing or coming close to maturity evenly over time as necessary to provide the cash flow and liquidity needed for operations. The Authority monitors the interest rate risk inherent in its portfolio by measuring the weighted-average maturity of its portfolio. The Authority has no specific limitations with respect to this metric.

Under provision of the Authority's investment policy, and in accordance with Section 53601 of the California Government Code, the Authority may invest in the following types of investments:

		Maximum	Maximum
	Maximum	Percentage	Investment
Authorized Investment Type	Maturity	of Portfolio	in One Issuer
Authority bonds	N/A	None	N/A
U.S. Treasury bills, notes, or bonds	5 years	None	None
State warrants or bonds	None	None	None
U.S. local agency bonds, notes, or			
warrants	None	None	None
Federal Agency obligations	5 years	None	None
Callable federal agency securities	5 years	20%	None
Bankers' acceptances	180 days	40%	10%
Commercial paper	270 days	25%	10%
Negotiable certificates of deposit	2 years	30%	None
Repurchase agreements	90 days	50%	None
State of California Local Agency			
Investment Fund (LAIF)	N/A	None	None
Time deposits	1 year	15%	None
L.A. County Treasurer Investment Pool	N/A	None	None
Money market funds	None	20%	10%
Medium-term maturity corporate notes	None	30%	10%
Mortgage- or asset-backed securities	5 years	20%	None

The MTI allows for exception of the maximum maturity prescript in the Authority's investment policy. The Authority is allowed a maximum maturity of five years for investments in the debt service reserve funds:

Authorized Investment Type	Maximum Maturity	Maximum Percentage of Portfolio	Maximum Investment in One Issuer
U.S. Treasury bills, notes, or bonds	10 years	None	None
State warrants or bonds	10 years	None	None
U.S. local agency bonds, notes, or			
warrants	10 years	None	None
Federal Agency obligations	10 years	None	None
Bankers' acceptances	270 days	40%	10%
Commercial paper	180 days	15%	10%
Negotiable certificates of deposit	2 years	30%	None
Repurchase agreements	90 days	50%	None
State of California Local Agency			
Investment Fund (LAIF)	N/A	None	None
L.A. County Treasurer Investment Pool	N/A	None	None
Money market funds	None	20%	10%
Medium-term maturity corporate notes	3 years	30%	8%
Guaranteed Investment Contracts and			
Investment Agreements	10 years	50%	20%
Mortgage- or asset-backed securities	10 years	20%	None

The following schedules indicate the interest rate risk of the Authority's investments as of June 30:

	2016		2015		
	Weighted-			Weighted-	
			Average		Average
		Reported	Maturity	Reported	Maturity
		Amount	(in Years)	 Amount	(in Years)
Cash and investment type					
Cash	\$	1,217,094	-	\$ 500	-
Money market fund		11,792,095	-	7,082,538	-
LAIF		8,348,106	-	6,975,577	-
U.S. Treasury notes		42,366,891	1.53	39,026,620	1.78
U.S. corporate notes		17,995,352	1.84	17,299,445	2.08
Commercial paper		972,348	0.30	1,278,161	0.34
Federal agency obligations		99,812,048	1.52	 109,638,670	1.80
	\$	182,503,934		\$ 181,301,511	

**Disclosure relating to credit risk** - Generally, credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This is measured by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. Presented below is the minimum of a rating by (where applicable) the Authority's investment policy, debt agreements, and the actual rating as of year-end for each investment type:

				Ra	tings as of June 30, 2	2016			
Investment type	AAA / AA+	AA	AA- / A+	А	A-	A-1+	A-1	NR	Total
Cash and money market	\$ 11,792,095	s -	s -	s -	s -	s -	s -	\$ 1,217,094	\$ 13,009,189
LAIF	-	-	-	-	÷	÷ .	-	8,348,106	8,348,106
U.S. Treasury notes	42,366,891	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	42,366,891
U.S. corporate notes	2,246,593	736,968	8,192,708	6,234,644	584,439	-	-	-	17,995,352
Commercial paper	-	-	-	-	-	124,421	847,927	-	972,348
Federal agency obligations	72,218,877					27,593,171		-	99,812,048
Total	\$ 128,624,456	\$ 736,968	\$ 8,192,708	\$ 6,234,644	\$ 584,439	\$ 27,717,592	\$ 847,927	\$ 9,565,200	\$ 182,503,934
				Ra	tings as of June 30, 2	2015			
Investment type	AAA / AA+	AA	AA- / A+	Α	A-	A-1+	A-1	NR	Total
Cash and money market	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 7,083,038	\$ 7,083,038
LAIF	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6,975,577	6,975,577
U.S. Treasury notes	39,026,620	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	39,026,620
U.S. corporate notes	2,445,457	1,329,453	7,387,776	6,136,759	-	-	-	-	17,299,445
Commercial paper	-	-	-	-	-	548,891	729,270	-	1,278,161
Federal agency obligations	77,746,347		<u> </u>			31,892,323			109,638,670
Total	\$ 119,218,424	\$ 1,329,453	\$ 7,387,776	\$ 6,136,759	\$-	\$ 32,441,214	\$ 729,270	\$ 14,058,615	\$ 181,301,511

**Concentration of credit risk** - The Authority's investment policy contains no limitations on the amount that can be invested in any one issuer beyond that stipulated by the California Government Code. Investments in any one issuer (other than U.S. Treasury securities, mutual funds, and external investment pools) that represent 5% or more of the total Authority's investments are as follows:

		 June 30			
		 2016		2015	
Federal Home Loan Bank Federal National Mortgage Association Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation	Federal agency obligations Federal agency obligations Federal agency obligations	\$ 22,368,653 44,802,644 29,380,737	\$	20,378,661 49,652,821 36,669,151	
		\$ 96,552,034	\$	106,700,633	

**Investment valuation and revenue recognition** – Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement number 72, *Fair Value Measurement and Application*, defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The Authority adopted this standard effective July 1, 2015.

Fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. GASB Statement Number 72 also establishes a fair value hierarchy, which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. Inputs refer broadly to the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on market data obtained from sources independent of the reporting entity. The standard describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value as:

Level 1 - Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities

**Level 2** - Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities

**Level 3** - Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the asset or liabilities. Level 3 assets and liabilities include financial instruments whose value is determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies, or similar techniques, as well as instruments for which the determination of fair value requires significant management judgment or estimation.

Following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis and recognized in the accompanying statements of net position, as well as the general classification of such instruments pursuant to the valuation hierarchy. There have been no changes in the methodologies used at June 30, 2016 and 2015.

**U.S. government securities, mortgage-backed securities and other debt and equity securities** – Valued at the closing price reported on the major market on which the individual securities are traded or have reported broker trades which may be considered indicative of an active market. Where quoted prices are available in an active market, the investments are classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. If quoted market prices are not available for the specific security, then fair values are estimated by using pricing models, quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics, discounted cash flows, and other observable inputs. Such securities are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy.

**Commercial paper** – Valued using pricing models maximizing the use of observable inputs for similar securities, which includes basing value on yields currently available on comparable securities of issuers with similar credit ratings.

Fair values of investments have been determined by ACTA from observable market quotations, as reflected below. The following tables present ACTA's fair value hierarchy for those assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2016 and 2015.

			June 3	0,20	16	
	 Total	I	Level 1		Level 2	 Level 3
Investments LAIF	\$ 8,348,106	\$	-	\$	8,348,106	\$ -
Commercial paper Domestic Federal agencies and municipalities	972,348		-		972,348	-
U.S. Treasury notes U.S. corporate notes	42,366,891 17,995,352		-		42,366,891 17,995,352	-
Federal agency obligations	 99,812,048		-		99,812,048	 
	169,494,745	\$	-	\$	169,494,745	\$ -
Cash and investments not measured at fair value						
Cash	1,217,094					
Money market fund	 11,792,095					
	\$ 182,503,934					
			June 3	0,20	15	
	 Total	I	June 3 Level 1	0, 20	15 Level 2	 Level 3
Investments LAIF Commercial paper	\$ Total 6,975,577	<u> </u>		<u>80, 20</u> \$		\$ Level 3
LAIF Commercial paper Domestic Federal agencies and	\$				Level 2	\$ Level 3 - -
LAIF Commercial paper Domestic	\$ 6,975,577				Level 2 6,975,577	\$ Level 3 - -
LAIF Commercial paper Domestic Federal agencies and municipalities U.S. Treasury notes U.S. corporate notes	\$ 6,975,577 1,278,161				Level 2 6,975,577 1,278,161	\$ Level 3 - - -
LAIF Commercial paper Domestic Federal agencies and municipalities U.S. Treasury notes	6,975,577 1,278,161 39,026,620				Level 2 6,975,577 1,278,161 39,026,620	\$ Level 3 - - - -
LAIF Commercial paper Domestic Federal agencies and municipalities U.S. Treasury notes U.S. corporate notes Federal agency	 6,975,577 1,278,161 39,026,620 17,299,445				Level 2 6,975,577 1,278,161 39,026,620 17,299,445	\$ Level 3 - - - - -
LAIF Commercial paper Domestic Federal agencies and municipalities U.S. Treasury notes U.S. corporate notes Federal agency	 6,975,577 1,278,161 39,026,620 17,299,445 109,638,670	\$		\$	Level 2 6,975,577 1,278,161 39,026,620 17,299,445 109,638,670	 Level 3 - - - - -
LAIF Commercial paper Domestic Federal agencies and municipalities U.S. Treasury notes U.S. corporate notes Federal agency obligations	 6,975,577 1,278,161 39,026,620 17,299,445 109,638,670 174,218,473 500	\$		\$	Level 2 6,975,577 1,278,161 39,026,620 17,299,445 109,638,670	 Level 3
LAIF Commercial paper Domestic Federal agencies and municipalities U.S. Treasury notes U.S. corporate notes Federal agency obligations	 6,975,577 1,278,161 39,026,620 17,299,445 109,638,670 174,218,473	\$		\$	Level 2 6,975,577 1,278,161 39,026,620 17,299,445 109,638,670	 Level 3

## Note 3 - Receivables

Receivables consist of grants, use fees, and other amounts due from private entities. The following provides a summary of the amounts of accounts and other receivables:

	June 30					
	2016			2015		
Grants receivable Interest receivable	\$	729,693	\$	2,082,258		
Use fees and other receivables		696,048 9,543,289		856,338 15,822,487		
Total	\$	10,969,030	\$	18,761,083		

## Note 4 - Assets Held for Transfer

Assets held for transfer represent right-of-way land and land improvements that were acquired by the Authority. Hundreds of parcels and easement rights were acquired in order to complete the construction of the Alameda Corridor. The Authority, under the terms of the joint powers agreement, is not permitted to own any land or land easement rights. The Ports own the land that the trench was built on and the Authority has the right to use and occupy the property under the terms of a Use Permit that was executed between the Ports and the Authority on October 12, 1998. Title to all land and easement rights necessary to operate the Alameda Corridor is in the process of being deeded to the Ports, as tenants in common. Due to the proximity of the Authority completing its remaining transfers within the next year or two, it was determined that all ancillary costs originally capitalized and not attributed to these remaining assets held for transfer be written off as of June 30, 2016, leaving only the known costs associated with the remaining parcels and easement rights in the account.

The following schedule summarizes assets held for transfer for the years ended June 30, 2016 and 2015:

	Balance, June 30, 2015	Additions	Transfers / Adjustments	Balance, June 30, 2016
Assets held for transfer	\$ 112,537,294	\$ 710,715	\$ (99,900,384)	\$ 13,347,625
	Balance, June 30, 2014 (As Restated)	Additions	Transfers / Adjustments	Balance, June 30, 2015
Assets held for transfer	\$ 139,332,555	\$ 10,876	\$ (26,806,137)	\$ 112,537,294

## Note 5 - Capital Assets

The following schedule summarizes capital assets for the years ended June 30, 2016 and 2015:

	Balance, June 30, 2015	Additions	Deletions	Balance, June 30, 2016
Buildings and equipment	¢ 02.007	¢	¢ ((1 572)	¢ 20.524
Automotive vehicles	\$ 82,097	\$- 15,970	\$ (61,573)	\$ 20,524
Office equipment Other equipment	624,607 186,825	15,970	(334,717) (186,825)	305,860
Tenant improvements	72,334		(72,334)	-
Buildings	1,102,594	-	(72,334)	1,102,594
Revenue assessment and	1,102,591			1,102,591
verification system and other				
software	9,827,107	-	(2,776,800)	7,050,307
			(_)))	.,,
Total buildings and equipment	11,895,564	15,970	(3,432,249)	8,479,285
Alameda Corridor Project				
Infrastructure				
Capital assets, being depreciated				
Trench structures	715,581,463	-	-	715,581,463
Track and signals	196,509,123	-	-	196,509,123
Rail bridge structures	408,972,328	-	-	408,972,328
Highway bridge structures	147,175,088	-	-	147,175,088
Capital assets, not being depreciated Trench structures	224 1 (7 7 2 2			224 1 ( 7 7 2 2
Track and signals	224,167,723	-	-	224,167,723 66,493,773
Rail bridge structures	66,493,773 101,783,053	-	-	101,783,053
Highway bridge structures	45,704,183	-	-	45,704,183
				<u>,                                </u>
Alameda Corridor				
Project Infrastructure	1,906,386,734			1,906,386,734
Total capital assets	1,918,282,298	15,970	(3,432,249)	1,914,866,019
Less accumulated depreciation for				
Trench structures	(135,391,299)	(10,430,223)	-	(145,821,522)
Track and signals	(38,738,228)	(3,309,086)	-	(42,047,314)
Rail bridge structures	(68,247,322)	(5,292,828)	-	(73,540,150)
Highway bridge structures	(24,206,233)	(1,948,030)	-	(26,154,263)
Automotive vehicles	(82,096)	(1)	61,573	(20,524)
Office equipment	(606,369)	(16,952)	334,717	(288,604)
Other equipment	(189,492)	2,667	186,825	-
Tenant improvements	(72,334)	-	72,334	-
Buildings	(511,483)	(36,751)	-	(548,234)
Revenue assessment and verification system and other software	(9,786,888)	(22,025)	2,776,800	(7,032,113)
system and other software	[2,700,000]	(22,023)	4,770,000	
Total accumulated depreciation	(277,831,744)	(21,053,229)	3,432,249	(295,452,724)
Capital assets, net	\$ 1,640,450,554	\$ (21,037,259)	\$-	\$ 1,619,413,295

# Note 5 - Capital Assets (continued)

The following schedule summarizes capital assets for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014:

	June 30, 2014 (As Restated)	Additions	Deletions	Balance, June 30, 2015
Buildings and equipment	* 00.00 <b>7</b>	<b>.</b>	¢	¢ 00.007
Automotive vehicles	\$ 82,097	\$ -	\$-	\$ 82,097
Office equipment	611,899	12,708	-	624,607
Other equipment	186,825	-	-	186,825
Tenant improvements	72,334	-	-	72,334
Buildings	1,102,594	-	-	1,102,594
Revenue assessment and				
verification system and other software	0 927 107			9,827,107
soltware	9,827,107		<u>-</u>	9,027,107
Total buildings and equipment	11,882,856	12,708		11,895,564
Alameda Corridor Project				
Infrastructure				
Capital assets, being depreciated				
Trench structures	715,581,463	-	-	715,581,463
Track and signals	196,509,123	-	-	196,509,123
Rail bridge structures	408,956,034	16,294	-	408,972,328
Highway bridge structures	147,132,504	42,584	-	147,175,088
Capital assets, not being depreciated				
Trench structures	224,167,723	-	-	224,167,723
Track and signals	66,493,773	-	-	66,493,773
Rail bridge structures	101,779,388	3,665	-	101,783,053
Highway bridge structures	45,689,818	14,365		45,704,183
Alameda Corridor				
Project Infrastructure	1,906,309,826	76,908		1,906,386,734
Total capital assets	1,918,192,682	89,616		1,918,282,298
Less accumulated depreciation for				
Trench structures	(124,961,077)	(10,430,222)	-	(135,391,299)
Track and signals	(35,468,053)	(3,270,175)	-	(38,738,228)
Rail bridge structures	(62,950,761)	(5,296,561)	-	(68,247,322)
Highway bridge structures	(22,225,091)	(1,981,142)	-	(24,206,233)
Automotive vehicles	(82,096)	-	-	(82,096)
Office equipment	(603,898)	(2,471)	-	(606,369)
Other equipment	(186,825)	(2,667)	-	(189,492)
Tenant improvements	(72,334)	-	-	(72,334)
Buildings	(474,730)	(36,753)	-	(511,483)
Revenue assessment and verification				
system and other software	(9,562,680)	(224,208)		(9,786,888)
Total accumulated depreciation	(256,587,545)	(21,244,199)		(277,831,744)
Capital assets, net	\$ 1,661,605,137	\$ (21,154,583)	\$ -	\$ 1,640,450,554

#### Note 6 - Bonds Payable

The 1999A Series Senior Lien Tax-Exempt Bonds (1999A Bonds), the 1999C Senior Lien Taxable Bonds (1999C Bonds), the 1999D Subordinate Lien Taxable Bonds (1999D Bonds), the 2004A Series Subordinate Lien Tax-Exempt Bonds (2004A Bonds), the 2004B Subordinate Lien Taxable Bonds (2004B Bonds), the 2012 Series Taxable Senior Lien Bonds (2012 Bonds), the 2013A Series Tax-Exempt Senior Lien Bonds (2013A Bonds), the 2016A Series Subordinate Lien Tax-Exempt Bonds (2016A Bonds), and 2016B Series Second subordinate Lien Tax-Exempt Bonds (2016B Bonds) are payable solely from and secured by a pledge of, among other revenues, use fees and container charges to be paid by the UP and BNSF for use of the Project and from shortfall advances to be paid under certain circumstances by the City of Long Beach, acting by and through its Board of Harbor Commissioners, and the City of Los Angeles, acting by and through its Board of Harbor Commissioners. The 1999D Bonds were paid off on October 1, 2014.

As of June 30, 2016 and 2015, the unamortized premium and discount balance on the 1999A and 1999C, 2004A and 2004B, 2013A, and 2016A and 2016B Bonds was \$124,262,549 and \$32,857,963, respectively.

	յւ	Balance, 1ne 30, 2015	Additions		Payments	Balance, June 30, 2016		Due Within One Year
Bonds payable						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
1999A Bonds	\$	50,453,617	\$	-	\$-	\$	50,453,617	\$ -
1999C Bonds		494,323,396		-	(21,290,000)		473,033,396	23,170,000
1999D Bonds		-		-	-		-	-
2004A Bonds		597,138,316		-	(548,373,062)		48,765,254	-
2004B Bonds		131,132,410		-	-		131,132,410	-
2012 Bonds		83,710,000		-	-		83,710,000	-
2013A Bonds		248,325,000		-	-		248,325,000	-
2016A Bonds		-		34,280,000	-		34,280,000	-
2016B Bonds		-		556,860,000			556,860,000	
Total bonds payable	•	1,605,082,739		591,140,000	(569,663,062)		1,626,559,677	23,170,000
Less unamortized bond								
premium (discounts)		32,857,963		95,914,612	(4,510,026)		124,262,549	-
Accrued interest payable		483,301,091		103,699,784	(154,526,828)		432,474,047	12,985,235
Net long-term liabilities	\$ 2	2,121,241,793	\$	790,754,396	\$ (728,699,916)	\$	2,183,296,273	\$ 36,155,235

Long-term liability activity for the years ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, was as follows:

Balance, June 30, 2014	Additions	Payments	Balance, June 30, 2015	Due Within One Year
		4		
\$ 50,453,617	\$-	\$-	\$ 50,453,617	\$ -
497,453,396	-	(3,130,000)	494,323,396	21,290,000
16,240,000	-	(16,240,000)	-	-
615,657,829	-	(18,519,513)	597,138,316	15,723,485
131,132,410	-	-	131,132,410	-
83,710,000	-	-	83,710,000	-
248,325,000			248,325,000	
1,642,972,252	-	(37,889,513)	1,605,082,739	37,013,485
36,798,174	-	(3.940.211)	32,857,963	-
444,456,660	115,613,565	(76,769,134)	483,301,091	27,424,030
\$ 2,124,227,086	\$ 115,613,565	\$ (118,598,858)	\$ 2,121,241,793	\$ 64,437,515
	June 30, 2014 \$ 50,453,617 497,453,396 16,240,000 615,657,829 131,132,410 83,710,000 248,325,000 1,642,972,252 36,798,174 444,456,660	June 30, 2014 Additions   \$ 50,453,617 \$ -   497,453,396 -   16,240,000 -   615,657,829 -   131,132,410 -   83,710,000 -   248,325,000 -   1,642,972,252 -   36,798,174 -   444,456,660 115,613,565	June 30, 2014 Additions Payments   \$ 50,453,617 \$ - \$ -   497,453,396 - (3,130,000)   16,240,000 - (16,240,000)   615,657,829 - (18,519,513)   131,132,410 - -   83,710,000 - -   248,325,000 - -   1,642,972,252 - (37,889,513)   36,798,174 - (3,940,211)   444,456,660 115,613,565 (76,769,134)	June 30, 2014 Additions Payments June 30, 2015   \$ 50,453,617 \$ - \$ 50,453,617   497,453,396 - (3,130,000) 494,323,396   16,240,000 - (16,240,000) -   615,657,829 - (18,519,513) 597,138,316   131,132,410 - - 131,132,410   83,710,000 - - 83,710,000   248,325,000 - - 248,325,000   1,642,972,252 - (37,889,513) 1,605,082,739   36,798,174 - (3,940,211) 32,857,963   444,456,660 115,613,565 (76,769,134) 483,301,091

**1999 Series A Capital Appreciation Bonds** - The 1999A Capital Appreciation Bonds (CABs) were issued by the Authority in the aggregate amount of \$50,453,617 on February 2, 1999. Proceeds from the sale of these insured 1999A CABs were used to finance a portion of the cost of design and construction of the Project.

The first maturity of the bonds will commence on October 1, 2030. The 1999A CABs, which mature between October 1, 2030 and October 1, 2037, have an accretion yield to maturity at rates ranging from 5.25% to 5.27%. The principal and accrued interest balance outstanding on the 1999A CABs at June 30, 2016 and 2015, are \$50,453,617 and \$74,605,631, and \$50,453,617 and \$68,252,697, respectively. The 1999A CABs are not subject to optional redemption.

The remaining debt service of the 1999A CABs is as follows:

	Annual Debt Service Requirement								
Principal		Interest			Total				
\$	7,298,874	\$	30,326,126	\$	37,625,000				
	32,114,863		161,730,137		193,845,000				
	11,039,880		69,240,120		80,280,000				
\$	50,453,617	\$	261,296,383	\$	311,750,000				
		Principal \$ 7,298,874 32,114,863 11,039,880	Principal   \$ 7,298,874 \$   32,114,863 11,039,880	Principal Interest   \$ 7,298,874 \$ 30,326,126   32,114,863 161,730,137   11,039,880 69,240,120	Principal Interest   \$ 7,298,874 \$ 30,326,126 \$   32,114,863 161,730,137 11,039,880 69,240,120				

**1999 C Bonds** - The 1999C Bonds include both current interest bonds (CIBs) and capital appreciation bonds (CABs).

**1999 Series C Current Interest Bonds** - The 1999C CIBs were issued by the Authority in the aggregate amount of \$430,155,000 on January 1, 1999. Proceeds from the sale of these insured 1999C CIBs were used to finance a portion of the cost of the design and construction of the Project.

Interest on the 1999C CIBs is payable semiannually on April 1 and October 1 of each year commencing April 1, 1999, with principal payments commencing October 1, 2014. The 1999C CIBs Series, which mature annually each October 1, from 2015 through 2029, bear interest at rates ranging from 6.50% to 6.60%. The principal balance outstanding on the 1999C CIBs is \$405,735,000 at June 30, 2016. The 1999C CIBs are not subject to optional redemption. The remaining debt service of the Authority's 1999C CIBs is as follows:

	 Annual Debt Service Requirement							
	Principal		Interest		Total			
Fiscal year(s)								
2017	\$ 23,170,000	\$	25,920,230	\$	49,090,230			
2018	25,175,000		24,349,018		49,524,018			
2019	27,315,000		22,643,093		49,958,093			
2020	29,595,000		20,793,518		50,388,518			
2021	-		19,831,680		19,831,680			
2022 - 2026	109,420,000		88,702,020		198,122,020			
2027 - 2031	 191,060,000		26,403,960		217,463,960			
Total	\$ 405,735,000	\$	228,643,519	\$	634,378,519			

**1999C CABs** - The 1999C CABs were issued by the Authority in the aggregate amount of \$67,298,396 on February 9, 1999. Proceeds from the sale of these insured 1999C CABs were used to finance a portion of the cost of the design and construction of the Project.

The first maturity of the bonds will commence on October 1, 2020. The 1999C CABs, which mature between October 1, 2020 and October 1, 2037, have an accretion yield to maturity at rates ranging from 6.69% to 6.83%. The principal balance and accrued interest outstanding on the 1999C CABs at June 30, 2016 and 2015, are \$67,298,396 and \$148,979,519, and \$67,298,396 and \$134,961,101, respectively. The 1999C CABs are not subject to optional redemption.

The Authority's remaining debt service on the 1999C CABs is as follows:

	Annual Debt Service Requirement								
		Principal		Interest		Total			
Fiscal year(s)									
2021	\$	7,709,136	\$	24,390,864	\$	32,100,000			
2022 - 2026		14,343,854		51,421,146		65,765,000			
2027 - 2031		6,850,575		50,524,425		57,375,000			
2032 - 2036		28,944,977		266,655,023		295,600,000			
2037 - 2041		9,449,854		112,970,147		122,420,001			
Total	\$	67,298,396	\$	505,961,605	\$	573,260,001			

**1999 Series D Bonds** - 1999D Bonds were issued by the Authority in the aggregate principal amount of \$145,635,000 on January 1, 1999. Proceeds from the sale of these insured 1999D Bonds were used to finance a portion of the cost of the design and construction of the Project.

Interest on the 1999D Bonds was payable semiannually on April 1 and October 1 of each year commencing April 1, 1999, with principal payments commencing October 1, 2003. The 1999D Bonds, which matured on October 1, 2014, bore interest at rates ranging from 5.47% to 6.37%. No 1999D Bonds remain outstanding as of June 30, 2016 or June 30, 2015.

**2004A Bonds** - The 2004A Bonds include both Capital Appreciation Bond - Non Convertible (CABs) and Capital Appreciation Bonds - Convertible (CCIBs).

**2004 Series A Capital Appreciation Bonds - Non Convertible** - The 2004A Bonds were initially all capital appreciation bonds. Of the total \$475,292,386, \$274,992,286 were convertible and converted to current interest bonds on October 1, 2012, and are callable on October 1, 2017 (CCIBs). \$200,300,100 are not convertible or callable (CABs). The 2004 Bonds were issued by the Authority in the aggregate amount of \$475,292,386 on April 22, 2004. Proceeds from the sale of these insured 2004A Bonds were used to refund a portion of the U.S. Department of Transportation Loan. The 2004A CABs mature between fiscal years 2012 and 2031 and have an accretion yield to maturity at rates ranging from 4.30% to 5.72%.

On May 24, 2016, all of the 2004A CABs maturing during fiscal year 2017 and a portion of the 2004A CABs maturing during fiscal years 2018 through 2021 were advance refunded, defeased, and escrowed to maturity. As a result, the Authority's remaining debt service on the 2004A CABs is as follows:

	Annual Debt Service Requirement					
	Principal		Interest		Total	
Fiscal year(s)						
2017	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
2018		4,269,651		3,985,349		8,255,000
2019		5,680,583		6,019,416		11,699,999
2020		1,896,850		2,273,150		4,170,000
2021		568,750		766,250		1,335,000
2022 - 2026		-		-		-
2027 - 2031		36,349,420		121,330,580		157,680,000
Total	\$	48,765,254	\$	134,374,745	\$	183,139,999

**2004 Series A Capital Appreciation Bond - Convertible** - On May 24, 2016, these bonds were advance refunded, defeased, and escrowed to October 1, 2017. The 2004A CCIBs were convertible and callable, and accreted to full face value of \$5,000 per bond on October 1, 2012. These bonds converted automatically and pay interest semiannually on April 1 and October 1 of each year commencing with April 1, 2013. The first maturity of the 2004A CCIBs will commence on October 1, 2021. The 2004 CCIBs mature between fiscal years 2021 and 2025, and bear interest at rates ranging from 5.25% to 5.45%. These bonds are callable at par, with accrued interest, if any, on October 1, 2017, or any date thereafter. As a result of the May 24, 2016 advance refunding, all 2004A CCIBs will be called on October 1, 2017. The principal balance outstanding on the 2004 CCIBs is \$0 and \$428,390,000 at June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

The Authority has no remaining debt service on the 2004A CCIBs.

**2004B Bonds** - The 2004B Bonds are capital appreciation bonds that were issued by the Authority in the aggregate amount of \$210,731,703 on April 22, 2004. Proceeds from the sale of these insured 2004B Bonds were used to repay a portion of the U.S. Department of Transportation Loan.

The first maturity of the 2004B Bonds commenced October 1, 2006. The 2004B Bonds mature between October 1, 2006 and October 1, 2033, and have an accretion yield to maturity at rates ranging from 3.05% to 6.33%. The 2004B Bonds are not subject to optional redemption. The principal balance and accrued interest outstanding on the 2004B Bonds are \$131,132,410 and \$149,410,784, and \$131,132,410 and \$132,407,082, at June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

The Authority's remaining debt service on the 2004B Bonds is as follows:

	Annual Debt Service Requirement					
	Principal		Interest		Total	
Fiscal year(s)						
2027 - 2031	\$	79,625,818	\$	266,054,182	\$	345,680,000
2032 - 2036		51,506,592		250,508,408		302,015,000
Total	\$	131,132,410	\$	516,562,590	\$	647,695,000

**2012 Bonds** - The 2012 Bonds were issued by the Authority in the aggregate amount of \$83,710,000 on June 21, 2012. Proceeds from the sale of these 2012 Bonds were used on July 24, 2012, to call and refund all 1999A Bonds maturing on October 1, 2014 through 2018, and a portion of the 1999A Bonds maturing on October 1, 2014 through 2018, and a portion of the 1999A Bonds maturing on October 1, 2019.

The 2012 Bonds are current interest bonds. Interest is payable semiannually on April 1 and October 1 of each year commencing October 1, 2012, with principal payments commencing October 1, 2023 through 2035, all at an interest rate of 2.46%. The principal balance on the 2012 Bonds is \$83,710,000 on June 30, 2016 and June 30, 2015. The 2012 Bonds are redeemable at par at the option of the Authority at any time, in whole or in part, from any available moneys that may be provided for such purpose.

	Annual Debt Service Requirement						
	Principal		Interest		Total		
Fiscal year(s)							
2017	\$ -	\$	2,056,445	\$	2,056,445		
2018	-		2,059,266		2,059,266		
2019	-		2,059,266		2,059,266		
2020	-		2,062,087		2,062,087		
2021	-		2,056,445		2,056,445		
2022 - 2026	29,955,000		9,163,956		39,118,956		
2027 - 2031	37,340,000		4,147,957		41,487,957		
2032 - 2036	16,415,000		713,646		17,128,646		
Total	\$ 83,710,000	\$	24,319,068	\$	108,029,068		

The Authority's remaining debt service on the 2012 Bonds is a follows:

**2013A Series Bonds** - The 2013A Bonds were issued by the Authority in the aggregate amount of \$248,325,000 on February 12, 2013. Proceeds from the sale of these 2013A Bonds were used on February 14, 2013, to call and refund all remaining 1999A CIBs.

The 2013A Bonds are current interest bonds. Interest is payable semiannually on April 1 and October 1 of each year commencing April 1, 2013, with principal payments commencing October 1, 2019 through 2029, at interest rates ranging from 3.00% to 5.00%. The principal balance on the 2013A Bonds is \$248,325,000 on June 30, 2016 and June 30, 2015. The 2013A Bonds are redeemable at par at the option of the Authority at any time, in whole or in part, from any available moneys that may be provided for such purpose.

### Note 6 - Bonds Payable (continued)

	Annual Debt Service Requirement					
		Principal	Interest			Total
Fiscal year(s)						
2017	\$	-	\$	11,786,950	\$	11,786,950
2018		-		11,786,950		11,786,950
2019		-		11,786,950		11,786,950
2020		11,120,000		11,508,950		22,628,950
2021		17,490,000		10,793,700		28,283,700
2022 - 2026		107,030,000		39,095,000		146,125,000
2027 - 2031		112,685,000		10,273,756		122,958,756
Total	\$	248,325,000	\$	107,032,256	\$	355,357,256

The Authority's remaining debt service on the 2013A Bonds is as follows:

**2016A and B Bonds** - The 2016A and B Tax-Exempt Current Interest Bonds were issued on May 24, 2016.

**2016A Bonds** - The 2016A Bonds were issued by the Authority in the aggregate amount of \$34,280,000 on May 24, 2016. Proceeds from the sale of these first subordinate lien 2016A Bonds were used on May 24, 2016, to advance refund, defease, and escrow to maturity certain 2004A CABs.

The 2016A Bonds are current interest bonds. Interest is payable semiannually on April 1 and October 1 of each year commencing on October 1, 2016, with principal payments commencing October 1, 2021 through 2025 at interest rates ranging from 4.00% to 5.00%. The principal balance on the 2016A Bonds is \$34,280,000 and \$0 on June 30, 2016 and June 30, 2015, respectively. The 2016A Bonds are not subject to optional redemption prior to stated maturity dates.

The Authority's remaining debt service on the 2016A Bonds is as follows:

	Annual Debt Service Requirement						
		Principal	_	Interest		Total	
Fiscal year(s)							
2017	\$	-	\$	1,371,011	\$	1,371,011	
2018		-		1,607,700		1,607,700	
2019		-		1,607,700		1,607,700	
2020		-		1,607,700		1,607,700	
2021		-		1,607,700		1,607,700	
2022 - 2026		34,280,000		3,991,950		38,271,950	
Total	\$	34,280,000	\$	11,793,761	\$	46,073,761	
2022 - 2026	\$		\$	3,991,950	\$	38,271,950	

### Note 6 - Bonds Payable (continued)

**2016B Bonds** - The 2016B Bonds were issued by the Authority in the aggregate amount of \$556,860,000 on May 24, 2016. Proceeds from the sale of these second subordinate lien 2016B Bonds were used on May 24, 2016, to advance refund, defease, and escrow to October 1, 2017, all 2004A CCIBs. The difference between the cash flows required to service the old debt and that required to service the new debt and complete the refunding resulted in a loss of \$297,845,470. The economic loss on the refunding was \$35,511,343.

The difference between the reacquisition price (the amount paid on extinguishment including call premiums and miscellaneous costs of reacquisition) and the net carrying value (amount due at maturity, adjusted for unamortized premiums and/or discounts) resulted in deferred charges on these refundings, in the amount of \$21,466,292. These deferred outflows are being amortized over the shorter of the remaining life of the old debt or the new debt as a component of interest expense. Amortization of these charges amounted to \$151,488 and \$0 for the years ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The remaining portion is included in deferred outflows of resources on the accompanying statements of net position, in the amount of \$21,3148,804 and \$0 at June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

The 2016B Bonds are current interest bonds. Interest is payable semiannually on April 1 and October 1 of each year commencing on October 1, 2016, with principal payments commencing on October 1, 2034 through 2037, at interest rates ranging from 3.125% to 5.00%. The principal balance on the 2016B Bonds is \$556,860,000 and \$0 on June 30, 2016 and June 20, 2015, respectively. The 2016B Bonds are redeemable at the option of the Authority on or after October 1, 2026, in whole or in part at any time, from any moneys that may be provided for such purpose and at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the 2016B Bonds to be redeemed plus interest accrued to the date fixed for redemption.

	 Annual Debt Service Requirement				
	Principal		Interest		Total
Fiscal year(s)					
2017	\$ -	\$	22,320,819	\$	22,320,819
2018	-		26,174,250		26,174,250
2019	-		26,174,250		26,174,250
2020	-		26,174,250		26,174,250
2021	-		26,174,250		26,174,250
2022 - 2026	-		130,871,250		130,871,250
2027 - 2031	-		-		-
2032 - 2036	265,625,000		-		265,625,000
2036 - 2038	 291,235,000		3,991,950		295,226,950
Total	\$ 556,860,000	\$	261,881,019	\$	818,741,019

The Authority's remaining debt service on the 2016B Bonds is as follows:

### Note 6 - Bonds Payable (continued)

### Accrued interest payable - The Authority's accrued interest payable is as follows:

		June 30, 2016	
	Current	Long-Term	
	Interest Bond	CABs	Total
1999A Bonds	\$-	\$ 74,605,631	\$ 74,605,631
1999C Bonds	6,668,314	148,979,519	155,647,833
2004A Bonds	-	46,492,878	46,492,878
2004B Bonds	-	149,410,784	149,410,784
2012 Bonds	514,817	-	514,817
2013A Bonds	2,946,738	-	2,946,738
2016A Bonds	165,236	-	165,236
2016B Bonds	2,690,130		2,690,130
Total	\$ 12,985,235	\$ 419,488,812	\$ 432,474,047
		June 30, 2015	
	Current	Long-Term	
	Interest Bond	CABs	Total
1999A Bonds	\$-	\$ 68,252,697	\$ 68,252,697
1999C Bonds	7,014,276	134,961,101	141,975,377
2004A Bonds	16,946,789	120,256,181	137,202,970
2004B Bonds	-	132,407,082	132,407,082
2012 Bonds 2013A Bonds	516,227	-	516,227
2015A BONUS	2,946,738		2,946,738
Total	\$ 27,424,030	\$ 455,877,061	\$ 483,301,091

### Note 6 - Bonds Payable (continued)

**Combined on all outstanding bonds debt service** - The Authority's debt service of the 1999A, 1999C, 1999D, 2004A, 2004B, 2012, 2013A, 2016A, and 2016B Bonds in aggregate is as follows:

	Annual Debt Service Requirement					
	 Principal		Interest		Total	
Fiscal year(s)						
2017	\$ 23,170,000	\$	63,455,455	\$	86,625,455	
2018	29,444,651		69,962,533		99,407,184	
2019	32,995,583		70,290,675		103,286,258	
2020	42,611,850		64,419,655		107,031,505	
2021	25,767,886		85,620,889		111,388,775	
2022 - 2026	295,028,854		323,245,322		618,274,176	
2027 - 2031	471,209,687		509,060,986		980,270,673	
2032 - 2036	394,606,432		679,607,214		1,074,213,646	
2037 - 2041	 311,724,734		186,202,217		497,926,951	
Total	\$ 1,626,559,677	\$	2,051,864,946	\$	3,678,424,623	

#### **Note 7 - Operating Leases**

ACTA leases office space, two vehicles, and a postage machine under operating lease agreements. Total lease expense amounted to approximately \$226,056 and \$189,710 in the fiscal years ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Minimum future lease payments on existing noncancelable leases as of June 30, 2016, are as follows:

2017	\$ 226,056
2018	228,209
2019	229,006
2020	232,735
2021	 38,907
Total minimum lease payments	\$ 954,913

#### **Note 8 - Pollution Remediation Obligations**

On December 21, 2010, a crude oil release from a then-unknown origin was discovered in the Dominguez Channel and nearby storm water drainage system adjacent to the Alameda Corridor. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the California Department of Fish and Game (DFG), the U.S. Coast Guard, and others have been involved in the mitigation, containment, investigation, and immediate cleanup efforts, and have contained the release.

On January 7, 2011, the EPA issued an order to the Port of Los Angeles, the Port of Long Beach, and the Authority, to assume responsibility for these activities effective January 14, 2011. The EPA agreed to limit the Authority's and the Ports' role to maintaining the containment systems and cleaning up the Los Angeles City pump station and sewer line leading to the pump station. The Authority and the Ports have completed the work required by the EPA. The EPA and DFG have managed the remaining work, including the source investigation.

On March 30, 2011, after identifying an oil pipeline owned and operated by Crimson Pipeline Management Company (Crimson) as the source of the release, the EPA issued an Order to Crimson for removal, mitigation, or prevention of a substantial threat of oil discharge. The Authority has been notified that Crimson has taken over responsibility for the oil release containment facilities effective June 15, 2011, and has assumed financial and operational responsibilities from that date.

Crimson filed a lawsuit against ACTA, the City of Los Angeles, the City of Long Beach, and Herzog Contracting Corporation on April 27, 2012, alleging that these other entities, and not Crimson, were responsible for the oil spill and/or were prevented from recovering damages from Crimson for the oil spill. ACTA, the City of Long Beach, and the City of Los Angeles, counterclaimed against Crimson.

The Authority and other named parties engaged in confidential mediation in regard to this matter. In September 2015, settlement agreements were executed by all parties. Baring cessation of business by Crimson or similar circumstance, the Authority is not expected to have further financial obligations on this matter. The Authority and the Ports are continuing to cooperate with Crimson, EPA, DFG, and other agencies to assist as necessary with containment, investigation, and cleanup, with related costs to be reimbursed by Crimson.

### Note 9 - Pension Plan

**Plan description** - All qualified employees are eligible to participate in the Authority's Miscellaneous Employee Pension Plan (Plan), cost-sharing multiple employer defined benefit pension plan administered by the California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS). Benefit provisions under the Plan are established by State statute and Local Government resolution. CalPERS issues publicly available reports that include a full description of the pension plan regarding benefit provisions, assumptions, and membership information that can be found on the CalPERS website.

**Benefits provided** - CalPERS provides service retirement and disability benefits, annual cost of living adjustments, and death benefits to plan members, who must be public employees and beneficiaries. Benefits are based on years of credited service, equal to one year of full-time employment. Members with five years of total service are eligible to retire at age 50 (age 52 for members hired after 2012) with statutorily reduced benefits. All members are eligible for non-duty disability retirement benefits after five years of service. The death benefit is one of the following: the Post Retirement Basic Lump Sum Death Benefit or the Pre-Retirement Optional Settlement 2W Death Benefit and, if applicable, the 1959 Survivor Benefit Level 3. The cost of living adjustments for each plan are applied as specified by the Public Employees' Retirement Law.

The Plans' provisions and benefits in effect at June 30, 2016, are summarized as follows:

	Miscellaneous						
Hire date		Prior to		On or after			
III e date	Jai	nuary 1, 2013	Ja	nuary 1, 2013			
	(Clas	ssic Employees)	(PE	PRA Employees)			
Benefit formula		2% @ 55		2%@62			
Benefit vesting schedule		5 years service		5 years service			
Benefit payments		monthly for life		monthly for life			
Retirement age		50 - 63+		52 - 67+			
Monthly benefits, as a % of eligible compensation	1	.426% to 2.418%		1.0% to 2.5%			
Required employee contribution rates		7%		6.250%			
Required employer contribution rates		8.512%		6.237%			
Employer annual lump sum prepayment	\$	112,143	\$	-			

**Contributions** - Section 20814(c) of the California Public Employees' Retirement Law requires that the employer contribution rates for all public employers be determined on an annual basis by the actuary and shall be effective on the July 1 following notice of a change in the rate. Funding contributions for both Plans are determined annually on an actuarial basis as of June 30 by CalPERS. The actuarially determined rate is the estimated amount necessary to finance the costs of benefits earned by employees during the year, with an additional amount to finance any unfunded accrued liability. The Authority is required to contribute the difference between the actuarially determined rate and the contribution rate of employees.

### Note 9 - Pension Plan (continued)

For the year ended June 30, 2016, the contributions recognized as part of pension expense for the Plan were \$196,611.

As of June 30, 2016, the Authority reported net pension liability for its proportionate shares of the net pension liability of each Plan as follows:

	Ju	June 30, 2016		June 30, 2015		
	Pr	oportionate	Proportionate			
		Share	Share			
	of	of Net Pension Liability		of Net Pension Liability		
Net pension liability as reported by CalPERS Adjustment to reported value	\$	1,445,588	\$	1,448,169 (10,161)		
Total net pension liability	\$	1,445,588	\$	1,438,008		

The Authority's net pension liability is measured as the proportionate share of the Plan's net pension liability. The net pension liability of the Plan is measured as of June 30, 2015, and the total pension liability for the Plan used to calculate the net pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2014, rolled forward to June 30, 2015, using standard update procedures. The Authority's proportion of the net pension liability was based on a projection of the Authority's long-term share of contributions to the pension plan relative to the projected contributions of all participating employers, actuarially determined. The Authority's proportionate share of the net pension liability for each Plan as of June 30, 2014 and 2015, was as follows:

	Increase (Decrease)								
	Plan T	'otal Pension	Pla	n Fiduciary	Plan	Net Pension	Ac	ljustment	
	Liability		Liability Net Position		Liability/(Asset)		Report to		Adjusted
	(a)		(b)		(c) = (a) - (b)		Value		Value
Balance at June 30, 2014	\$	7,041,934	\$	5,593,765	\$	1,448,169	\$	-	\$ 1,448,169
Balance at June 30, 2015		7,301,772		5,856,184		1,445,588		-	1,445,588
Net changes during 2014-15		259,838		262,419		(2,581)		-	(2,581)

### Note 9 - Pension Plan (continued)

For the year ended June 30, 2016, the Agency recognized pension expense of \$216,007. At June 30, 2016, the Authority reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

	C	Deferred Dutflows Resources	Deferred Inflows of Resources		
Differences between expected and actual experience	\$	12,601	\$	-	
Changes in assumptions		-		(119,219)	
Net difference between projected and actual earnings					
earnings on Plan investments		-		(59,766)	
Change in employer's proportion		-		(45,051)	
Differences between the employer's contributions and					
the employer's proportionate share of contributions		-		(4,391)	
Pension contributions subsequent to measurement date		222,836		-	
Total	\$	235,437	\$	(228,427)	

The \$222,836 reported as deferred outflows of resources related to contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the year ended June 30, 2017. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized as pension expense as follows:

2017	\$ (103,520)
2018	(102,177)
2019	(86,524)
2020	76,395
2021	-

#### Note 9 - Pension Plan (continued)

**Actuarial assumptions** - The total pension liabilities in the June 30, 2014, actuarial valuations were determined using the following actuarial assumptions:

	Miscellaneous				
Valuation Date	6/30/2014				
Measurement Date	6/30/2015				
Actuarial Cost Method	Entry-Age Normal Cost Method				
Actuarial Assumptions					
Discount Rate	7.65%				
Inflation	2.75%				
Payroll Growth	3.00%				
Projected Salary Increase	3.3% - 14.2% (1)				
Investment Rate of Return	7.5% (2)				
Mortality Rate Table (3)					

- (1) Depending on age, service, and type of employment.
- (2) Net of pension plan investment expenses, including inflation.

(3) The underlying mortality assumption and all other actuarial assumptions used in the June 30, 2014, valuation were based on the results of a January 2014 actuarial experience study for the period of 1997 to 2011. Further details of the Experience Study can be found on the CalPERS website.

**Discount rate** - The discount rate CalPERS used to measure the total pension liability was 7.65%. To determine whether the municipal bond rate should be used in the calculation of the discount rate for public agency plans, CalPERS stress-tested plans that would most likely result in a discount rate that would be different from the actuarially assumed discount rate. Based on the testing of the plans, the tests revealed the assets would not run out. Therefore, the current 7.65% discount rate is appropriate and the use of the municipal bond rate calculation is not deemed necessary. The long-term expected discount rate of 7.65% is applied to all plans in the Public Employees Retirement Fund (PERF). The stress-test results are presented in a detailed report that can be obtained from the CalPERS website.

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a buildingblock method in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class.

In determining the long-term expected rate of return, CalPERS took into account both short-term and long-term market return expectations, as well as the expected pension fund (Public Employees' Retirement Fund) cash flows. Using historical returns of all the funds' asset classes, expected compound returns were calculated over the short-term (first 10 years) and the long-term (11–60 years) using a building-block approach. Using the expected nominal returns for both short-term and long-term, the present value of benefits was calculated for each fund. The expected rate of return was set by calculating the single equivalent expected return that arrived at the same present value of benefits for cash flows as the one calculated using both short-term and long-term returns. The expected rate of return was then set equivalent to the single equivalent rate calculated above and rounded down to the nearest one quarter of one percent.

### Note 9 - Pension Plan (continued)

The table below reflects the long-term expected real rate of return by asset class. The rate of return was calculated using the capital market assumptions applied to determine the discount rate and asset allocation. These rates of return are net of administrative expenses.

Asset Class	New Strategic Allocation	Real Return Years 1 - 10(a)	Real Return Years 11+(b)
Global equity	51.00%	5.25%	5.71%
Global fixed income	19.00%	0.99%	2.43%
Inflation sensitive	6.00%	0.45%	3.36%
Private equity	10.00%	6.83%	6.95%
Real estate	10.00%	4.50%	5.13%
Infrastructure and forestland	2.00%	4.50%	5.09%
Liquidity	2.00%	-0.55%	-1.05%
Total	100%		

(a) An expected inflation of 2.5% used for this period.

(b) An expected inflation of 3.0% used for this period.

**Sensitivity of the proportionate share of the net pension liability to changes in the discount rate** - The following presents the Authority's proportionate share of the net pension liability for the Plan, calculated using the discount rate for the Plan, as well as what the Authority's proportionate share of the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1 percentage point lower or 1 percentage point higher than the current rate:

	Miscellaneous		
1% decrease Net pension liability	\$	6.65% 2,439,992	
Current discount rate		7.65%	
Net pension liability, as adjusted	\$	1,445,588	
1% increase Net pension liability	\$	8.65% 624,592	

**Pension plan fiduciary net position** - Detailed information about the Plan's fiduciary net position is available in the separately issued CalPERS financial reports.

### Note 10 - Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB)

**Plan description (OPEB)** - The Alameda Corridor Transportation Authority Retiree Healthcare Plan is a single-employer defined-benefit health care plan administered by the Authority. The plan provides health care benefits to eligible retirees and their dependents. Employees must retire directly from the Authority under a CalPERS disability retirement or service retirement (age 50 and five years of service for Classic employees, but age increases to 52 for PEPRA employees hired after 1/1/2013). Benefit provisions are established and may be amended through agreements and memorandums of understanding between the Authority, its management employees, and unions representing Authority employees.

The Authority provides retiree medical benefits through the California Public Employees' Retirement System Healthcare Program (PEMHCA). The Authority contributes, for eligible retirees and their dependents, using the Los Angeles Regional Kaiser rate structure at 5% of the active member contribution amount multiplied by years in PEMHCA (increase each year not greater than \$100 per month, total amount not to exceed the active amount). The Authority joined PEMHCA in 2000 for all bargaining units, and contributes up to the Kaiser premium based on coverage level for active employees.

The Authority participates in the California Employers' Retiree Benefit Trust (CERBT) Fund, which is administered by CalPERS. CERBT is a tax-qualified irrevocable trust organized under Internal Revenue Code Section 115 and established to prefund retiree health care benefits. CERBT, an agent multiple-employer trust, issues a publicly available financial report including GASB Statement No. 43, *Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefit Plans Other Than Pension Plans*, disclosure information in aggregate with the other CERBT participating employers. That report can be obtained from the CalPERS website at www.calpers.ca.gov.

**Funding policy (OPEB)** - The contribution requirements of the plan members and the Authority are established by and may be amended by the Authority. The Authority prefunds plan benefits through the CERBT by contributing at least 100% of the ARC.

The ARC is an amount actuarially determined in accordance with the parameters of GASB Statement No. 45, *Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Postemployment Benefit Other Than Pensions*. The ARC represents a level of funding that, if paid on an ongoing basis, is projected to cover the normal cost each year and amortize any unfunded actuarial liabilities (or funding excess) over a period not to exceed 30 years.

For fiscal year 2016, the Authority contributed \$90,308 to the Plan, including \$12,308 for current benefit payments and administrative fees, and \$78,000 to prefund plan benefits.

### Note 10 - Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB) (continued)

**Annual OPEB cost and net OPEB asset** - The following table shows the components of the Authority's annual OPEB cost for the year, the amount actually contributed to the plan, and changes in the Authority's net OPEB asset:

Annual required contribution Interest on net OPEB asset Adjustment to annual required contribution	\$ (66,000) 22,242 (36,706)
Annual OPEB cost Contributions	 (80,464) 90,308
Increase in net OPEB asset Net OPEB asset, beginning of year	 9,844 355,875
Net OPEB asset, end of year	\$ 365,719

The Authority's annual OPEB cost, the percentage of annual OPEB cost contributed to the plan, and the net OPEB asset for fiscal year 2016 and the two preceding years were as follows:

Fiscal Year Ended	Annu	al OPEB Cost	Contributed	Net OPEB Asset		
June 30, 2014	\$	123,384	104%	\$	363,180	
June 30, 2015		131,049	94%		355,875	
June 30, 2016		80,464	112%		365,719	

**Funded status and funding progress (OPEB)** - The funded status of the plan as of June 30, 2016, the plan's most recent actuarial valuation date, was as follows:

Actuarial accrued liability (AAL) Actuarial value of plan assets	\$ 1,223,000 1,343,000
Unfunded (overfunded) actuarial accrued liability (UAAL)	\$ (120,000)
Funded ratio (actuarial value of plan assets/AAL) Covered payroll (active plan members) UAAL as a percentage of covered payroll	\$ 110% 1,259,844 -10%

### Note 10 - Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB) (continued)

Actuarial valuations of an ongoing plan involve estimates of the value of expected benefit payments and assumptions about the probability of occurrence of events far into the future. Examples include assumptions about future employment, mortality, and the health care cost trend. Amounts determined regarding the funded status of the plan and the ARCs of the employer are subject to continual revision as actual results are compared with past expectations and new estimates are made about the future. The schedule of funding progress, presented as required supplementary information following the notes to the basic financial statements, presents multiyear trend information about whether the actuarial value of plan assets is increasing or decreasing over time relative to the actuarial accrued liabilities for benefits.

Actuarial methods and assumptions (OPEB) - Projections of benefits for financial reporting purposes are based on the substantive plan (the plan as understood by the employer and the plan members) and include the types of benefits provided at the time of each valuation and the historical pattern of sharing of benefit costs between the employer and plan members to that point. The actuarial methods and assumptions used include techniques that are designed to reduce the effects of short-term volatility in actuarial accrued liabilities and the actuarial value of assets, consistent with the long-term perspective of the calculations.

In the June 30, 2015, actuarial valuation, the entry age normal actuarial cost method was used. The actuarial assumptions included a 6.25% investment rate of return (net of administrative expenses), which is the expected long-term investment return on CERBT investments, a 3.0% general inflation assumption, an annual pre-Medicare eligible medical cost trend rate of 7.0% for 2017 decreasing to 5.0% after 4 years (the post-Medicare eligible medical cost trend rate started 0.2% higher for 2017). The actuarial value of assets is based on market value, but investment gains and losses are spread over a 5-year rolling period. In addition, the actuarial value of assets can never be less than 80% or more than 120% of market value. The June 30, 2015, UAAL was amortized as a level percentage of projected payroll over 12 years from June 30, 2015.

### Note 11 - Commitments and Contingencies

The Authority is subject to claims and lawsuits arising in the normal course of business. Such claims are routinely evaluated by the Authority's legal counsel. Management may make provisions for probable losses if deemed appropriate on advice of legal counsel. To the extent that provisions for damages are considered necessary, appropriate amounts are reflected in the accompanying basic financial statements. It is the opinion of the Authority's management, based on consultation with legal counsel, that the estimated liability for unreserved claims and suits will not have a material impact on the Authority's basic financial statements.

### Note 11 - Commitments and Contingencies (continued)

The Authority is also exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets, errors, and omissions; and natural disasters for which the Authority carries commercial insurance. In each of the past three fiscal years, the Authority has experienced no losses that have not been covered by existing insurance policy limits, beyond applicable deductible amounts.

As a recipient of federal and state grant funds, the Authority is subject to periodic audits and compliance reviews by, or on behalf of, the granting agencies to determine whether the expenditure of granted funds has been made in accordance with grant provisions. Such audits and compliance reviews could result in the potential disallowance of expenditures claimed by the Authority. The Authority's management believes that the Authority has complied with the terms of its grant agreements and that the possible adverse effects, if any, of disallowed grant expenditures that may be determined by the granting agencies upon the Authority would not be material to the Authority.

**REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** 

### ALAMEDA CORRIDOR TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY SCHEDULE OF PROPORTIONATE SHARE OF THE NET PENSION LIABILITY JUNE 30, 2016

	6/30/2016		6/30/2015	
Plan's proportion of the net pension liability/(asset)		0.02106%		0.02327%
Plan's proportionate share of the net pension liability/(asset)	\$	1,445,588	\$	1,438,008
Plan's covered-employee payroll	\$	1,259,844	\$	1,207,037
Plan's proportionate share of the net pension liability/(asset) as a percentage of its covered-employee payroll		114.74%		119.14%
Plan's proportionate share of the fiduciary net position as a percentage of the Plan's total pension liability		78.40%		79.44%
Plan's proportionate share of aggregate employer contributions	\$	202,570	\$	151,265

Note: GASB 68 requires ten years of historical information. Fiscal year 2015 was the first year of implementation; therefore only information for the year ended June 30, 2015, and later has been presented.

### ALAMEDA CORRIDOR TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS JUNE 30, 2016

	6/30/2016			6/30/2015	
Actuarially determined contribution Contributions in relation to the actuarially determined contribution	\$	222,836 (222,836)	\$	196,611 (196,611)	
Contribution deficiency/(excess)	\$	-	\$	-	
Covered-employee payroll Contributions as a percentage of covered-employee payroll	\$	1,318,017 16.91%	\$	1,259,844 15.61%	

Note: GASB 68 requires ten years of historical information. Fiscal year 2015 was the first year of implementation; therefore only information for the year ended June 30, 2015, and later has been presented

## ALAMEDA CORRIDOR TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS FOR OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS

					Funding H	listory			
					Unfunded				
OPEB Biennial			Accrued (Overfunded) Liabilities Liabilities		Funded Ratio		Annual Covered	ULAs as %	
Actuarial Valuation Date	sets (AVA)		(AL)	(UL)		(AVA/AL)		Payroll	of Payroll
June 30, 2011	\$ 650,000	\$	711,000	\$	61,000	91%	\$	1,459,000	4%
June 30, 2013	936,000		1,296,000		360,000	72%		1,065,000	34%
June 30, 2015	1,343,000		1,223,000		(120,000)	110%		1,259,844	-10%